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Timeline of Federal Indian Policy

- **Doctrine of Discovery** (1492-1600’s)
- **Treaty Making Era**
- **Indian Removal Era** (1830-1850)
- **Reservation Era** (1850-1880’s)
- **Indian Reorganization Era** (1930’s-1945)
- **Indian Self-Determination Policy Era** (1970’s-Present)

- **Allotment & Assimilation** (1887-1930’s)
- **Termination & Relocation Era** (1945-1961)
History of Human Subjects Protections

- AI Biomedical & Anthropology Research began ~1890’s
- 1947 -- Nuremburg War Crime Trials set the standards for the draft of the Nuremburg Code to protect human subjects.
- 1974 -- National Research Act established the existence of IRBs to review biomedical/behavioral research & Universities started adopting review boards
- 1979 -- Belmont Report on ethical principles & guidelines for Human Subjects protections
- 1983 -- U.S. Dept. of Health & Human Services created a federal policy on human subjects
- 1991 – Common Rule
Research & American Indians

- Unethical research
- Research focus is often for the researcher & not the community
- Many AI communities feel they have been over-researched
- Many feel that most research does not benefit their communities
- Mistakes
  - Lack of Tribal and Federal approvals
  - No updates
  - No Final reports
Havasupai “Diabetes” research (1989)

- High prevalence of diabetes: 45% women, 50% men
- Tribal members approached ASU researchers
- Tribal Council stressed sole interest in Diabetes research
- Blood for DNA was collected with the statement it was for diabetes research
- Consent obtained was to study behavioral/medical disorders
- Researcher studied the genetics of diabetes
- Conclusion was Havasupai diabetes did not have a genetic basis
Havasupai “Diabetes” research (1989)

- IHS clinic records were reviewed for indications of schizophrenia
  - No approvals: ASU, ASU IRB, IHS IRB, Tribal, nor participant

- Population migration dissertation study was conducted.
  - No Tribal approvals

- Investigation concluded that scientists used the blood/DNA to study schizophrenia, inbreeding, population migration at ASU and other Universities.
  - No Tribal approvals

- The majority of publications were not related to diabetes.
  - No Tribal approvals
Havasupai “Diabetes” research (1989)

- Two separate lawsuits filed by the Havasupai
- Cases were tied up for 6 years
- Agreement was made in 2010
- Returned: Blood samples, DNA, lab books
- $700,000 payment
- No ongoing research will be approved
- Provide the tribe with a list of individuals & institutions that have blood samples
Building Trust

- American Indians have poor health outcomes
- Little research on American Indian health and disease
- Research is needed to create effective clinical and public health approaches
- So how do we build trust?
Building Trust: Community

Understand & acknowledge each community’s history

What can you offer in terms of services?

Understand past research & how it impacted the community

Be upfront about expectations & intentions

Be present & listen to community members

Acknowledge the expertise of community members
Building Trust: Risk

- Identification of individuals
  - Communities are often small & identification is possible

- Stigmatization of individuals & communities
  - Study topics can imply the entire community is affected
  - Stigma is often on the community and not just individuals
Building Trust: Respect

- Acknowledge Tribal Sovereignty
  - Tribes/Nations are Federal entities & have their own laws
  - Tribes may want ownership of the data that is collected
  - Only use the data gathered for the research that you obtained permission to conduct.

- Community members have expertise. Get their input.
Building Trust: Developing Research

- Developing mutually beneficial partnerships
  - Data ownership. Start discussions early.
  - Engaging tribes
    - Ask about research needs
    - Obtain feedback/input in the development

- Develop mutually beneficial research
  - Learn about community issues → research topic
Building Trust: Engaging in Research

- Tribal IRBs/Permission
  - Costly
  - Time Consuming
  - Obtain support
- Communication
  - Updates
  - Final results
- Hire Tribal Members
- Be upfront
  - Publications
- Data ownership
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obstacles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Consuming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Distances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Turnover</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
QuitSMART Utah

- Pragmatic Clinical Trial on Tobacco Cessation
- Based in FQHC clinics
- Connect patients electronically to the Utah Quitline
- Goal is to: enroll tobacco users into the quitline and determine efficacy of quitting
QuitSMART Utah

- Risk
- Community
- Respect
- Development of Research
- Engaging in Research
- Obstacles
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