Patient Name:				ID #:		
Facility:		Surveyor: Review Date: D Access: Fistula Graft Catheter Catheter >90 days				
Admit Date:		Review Date:				
DOB:A Diagnosis:A	Age: HD A	ccess:	Fistula 🗌 Gr	aft Catheter	Ca	theter >90 days
Criteria for Sampl	ing:		Sections in th	nis worksheet com	pleted:	
YOU ARE NOT REQUIRED TO COMPLETE ALL OF THE SECTIONS FOR EACH PATIENT. HOWEVER SECTION 1 MUST BE COMPLETED FOR ALL ICHD PATIENTS SAMPLED.						
All medical record reviews in the ESRD Core Survey are focused reviews, looking at the care provided to and monitoring of each sampled patient related to the criteria used to select them. For all active sampled patients, review the patient's dialysis/medication orders, and the documentation of their dialysis treatments in Section 1. The remainder of each medical record review should be focused on the components of the record related to that patient's sampling criteria in the applicable sections of this worksheet. Refer to "Patient Sample Selection" of the ESRD Core Survey Process for sampling criteria. Note: For closed record review of patients sampled due to being involuntarily discharged, follow the ESRD Core Survey Process and current CMS Survey and Certification guidance. Section 1: Complete for ALL SAMPLED ICHD patients (except closed record review for involuntary discharge). The review of the patient's treatment orders and dialysis treatment records shows the facility practices in implementation of the patient's physician orders/dialysis prescription/plan of care, the safety of the hemodialysis treatment, fluid/BP management and patient monitoring before, during and after						
dialysis. <b>Record the curre</b>	nt dialysis treat	ment and r	nedication ord	ere.		
Treatment Orders:						days/week
Dialyzer:	Dute	LD \	•	I requency BFR·		DFR.
Dialyzer: Treatment duration	n· h	ours	minutes Hen	DIR		DI K
ESA dose:	<u> </u>	equency:	InfinitesTrept	Vitami	n D·	
Other meds/treatm					ш D	
Other meus/treatm	ients.					
Review 2-3 consecutive weeks of HD treatment records. RECORD EXCEPTIONS and     VARIANCES ONLY. Check if no exceptions.     (Number)   treatment records reviewed betweenand						
EXCEPT	IONS			ATES/COMMENT	S	
Safety checks not d	locumented:					
Independent pH/						

Safety checks not documented:	
Independent pH/ conductivity(V250)	
Machine alarm check (V403)	
Reuse dialyzer checks not documented:	
Germicide presence (V350)	
Germicide absence of residual (V353)	
Patient/dialyzer ID by 2 (V348)	

Patient Name:	ID #:		
Facility:	Surveyor:		
EXCEPTIONS	DATES/COMMENTS		
<b>Adequacy plan not implemented</b> (V544):			
BFR, DFR, time, dialyzer type			
Meds/treatments not administered as ordered:			
Anemia management (V547)			
Mineral metabolism (V546)			
Incorrect dialysate(V541)			
Antihypertensives (V543)			
Other			
<b>BP/fluid management</b> (V543):			
Hypertension			
Hypotension			
Dry/target weight not achieved			
Ultrafiltration Rate >13mL.kg/hr (review for trends)			
Patient monitoring:			
No assessment pre and/or post dialysis (V543)			
Not monitored per policy (V543)			
Access function and/or care not documented (V550):			
Unusual or adverse events (V634)			

**If yes to the above question,** *citation at the applicable V-tag for the care element as listed in the table above may be indicated.* 

Did you identify trends of problems with the patient's blood pressure, fluid, and weight management?
No Yes Explain

**If yes:** Is there evidence that facility staff recognized the trend as a problem, acted with interventions aimed at resolution/improvement, and changed strategies when interventions were unsuccessful?

- If yes-no citation is indicated
- If no-citation at V543 may be indicated

Patient Name:	II	<b>)</b> #:
Facility:	Surveyor:	

Section 2: Complete for ICHD patients sampled due to NOT MEETING GOALS ("OUTLIERS") IN THE DATA-DRIVEN FOCUS AREAS for this survey - if the patient was sampled due to trends poor outcomes in data-driven focus areas, record in this section.

Note: This is a focused review intended to look at facility systems for addressing poor patient outcomes in the data-driven focus areas. You are not expected to search each patient's record for all of their outcomes. If, during your review of the data-driven focus areas used for selecting that patient, you discover poor outcomes for the patient in another area, use your judgment on whether reviewing the additional area would be of value, and follow this guidance for that area, as well.

**Review** the medical record documentation related to the outcome/area, e.g., progress notes, physician's orders, patient assessment, plan of care to assess the facility's activities for monitoring the patient's outcome, recognizing that there is a problem, and taking action to address it. For poor outcomes in laboratory values (i.e., anemia, adequacy, mineral metabolism, albumin): also review the current 3 months of lab results in that area. Reference target values are listed on the Measures Assessment Tool (MAT). Notes:

For each area reviewed in Section 2 for the patient (use back for additional review areas & notes):

Is there evidence that the patient's outcome in the data-driven focus area(s) used for sampling them has improved and their goal(s) currently met?

**Yes - no further review is needed,** *no citation in that area is indicated* 

**No** - is there evidence that one or more IDT members were monitoring the patient's outcome in that area; recognized that the patient was not attaining their goal or had a problem in that area; implemented interventions aimed at improvement/resolution; and changed strategies if no improvement?

- **Yes** *no citation is indicated.*
- $\circ$  **No** citation in that outcome area at the applicable patient assessment or plan of care Vtag is indicated.

Notes:

Patient Name:		ID #:
Facility:	Surveyor:	

Section 3: Complete for ICHD patients listed as "UNSTABLE:" Review the IDT documentation in progress notes, physician's orders, assessments, physical and mental functioning surveys (age-appropriate HRQOL survey), plans of care, etc. pertaining to the two most recent patient assessment and plan of care periods. The IDT process and content of the patient assessments and plans of care are more important than the format or timelines.

Why was this patient identified by the IDT as "unstable?"\_\_\_\_\_

- Is there evidence of a functional IDT process, including substantive contributions from all required IDT members (physician, RN, registered dietitian, master's prepared social worker at a minimum)? **Yes No** (V501, 509, 510, 541, 552)
- Was an assessment of the patient conducted and the clinical and psychosocial issues contributing to the patient's instability addressed through revised care interventions?  $\Box$  Yes  $\Box$  No - *citation at the* applicable patient assessment or plan of care V-tag may be indicated. Notes:\_\_\_\_\_

Section 4: Complete for ICHD patients NEWLY ADMITTED (<90 DAYS): Looking at the process for assuring the patient new to the dialysis facility was appropriately evaluated on admission prior to the first dialysis and during their first weeks receiving care at the facility. Review the admission orders, lab results and progress notes.

- Is there evidence that the patient had orders from a physician or non-physician practitioner, if allowed by state law, and was evaluated by an RN prior to their first dialysis treatment at the facility? 🗌 Yes **No** (V715)
- Was the patient evaluated for hepatitis B and tuberculosis and offered hepatitis B vaccine and pneumococcal vaccine, if indicated? **Yes No** (V124, 125, 126, 506)
- Is there evidence facility staff evaluated and addressed issues related to the patient's labs, fluid management, dialysis-related and other clinical and psychosocial problems? **Yes No**-citation at the applicable patient assessment or plan of care V-tag may be indicated.

Notes:\_\_\_\_\_